SECTION II

MONTANA PROFESSIONAL EDUCATOR PREPARATION PROGRAM STANDARDS REVIEW PROCESS AND PROCEDURES

Published by the Montana Board of Public Education

April 2007

Effective March 31, 2007 - January 31, 2014



SECTION II

MONTANA PROFESSIONAL EDUCATOR PREPARATION PROGRAM STANDARDS REVIEW PROCESS AND PROCEDURES

INTRODUCTION

The Montana system of professional educator certification is a comprehensive, holistic system that includes three components: educator preparation, educator licensure, and ongoing, required professional development. These three components are integral to one another, and provide assurance to Montana citizens that educators within Montana schools are qualified to meet the learning needs of Montana students.

Article X, Section 9, of the 1972 Montana Constitution established the Board of Public Education (BPE) and the Board of Regents of Higher Education. The Board of Regents of Higher Education has the power, responsibility and authority to supervise, coordinate, manage and control the Montana University System.

The BPE exercises general supervision over the state's public school system and is further authorized under state law to create and establish a system of teacher certification. This teacher certification system is the basis of unit and program accreditation for all Montana professional education units. This means that all professional education units and specific programs within the units, which lead to educator licensure, must be accredited.

The Montana Board of Public Education is authorized by state law and administrative rule to create and establish a system of professional educator certification including training and preparation The BPE accredits professional educator units in Montana's higher education institutions, based on the Professional Educator Preparation Standards (PEPPS). These protocols are defined in the Professional Educator Preparation Program Standards and Procedural Manual, found on the OPI Web site at www.opi.mt.gov.

The PEPPS guide professional educator preparation units in the development of curriculum, instructional strategies, aligned assessments, and supervised, relevant field and clinical experiences to prepare educator candidates to meet the learning needs of all Montana students.

INITIAL ACCREDITATION: PROFESSIONAL EDUCATION UNITS

Before state accreditation is considered, an Institution seeking initial accreditation for a new professional education unit must have formal authorization from the administrative board charged with this responsibility. To gain initial accreditation status from the BPE, the following steps are required:

- Formal authorization from the administrative board of the Institution is received by the OPI.
- The Institution provides regional accreditation.
- The new professional education unit completes the Institutional Report with evidence demonstrating compliance with the BPE program preparation standards.
- The IR is electronically submitted to OPI. Electronic links for additional resources including links to appropriate catalogs are provided to OPI.
- The OPI facilitates an off-site review of the institutional report.
- The new professional education unit is scheduled for an on-site visit.
- The on-site review process shall follow the approved state protocol.
- The Board of Public Education approved Professional Educator Preparation
 Program Standards and Procedures Manual shall be used to guide the review
 process. The Superintendent of Public Instruction shall recommend accreditation
 status to the Board of Public Education based upon the on-site team report.
- The Board of Public Education shall conduct a follow-up on-site visit of the newly accredited professional education unit within two years.
- In cases when an on-site review is not available within the desired time period, the OPI shall review a new professional education unit and its IR by meeting with the dean of education or the professional education unit's representative to ensure that the program is equivalent to other state-authorized units.
- If the recommendation from this meeting is to accredit, the new professional education unit may only be recognized as provisionally accredited until a formal on-site visit is conducted within a year.

Process for State Accreditation of Professional Education Units

A professional education unit makes a written request to the Office of Public Instruction (OPI) initiating a state review. The request must be received by the OPI twelve months prior to the on-site visit (see page). Date for review is determined through a collaborative process between the unit and the OPI. The OPI designates a chairperson and membership for the off-site and on-site review teams. The Professional education unit approves team membership. The institutional self study report must be received by the OPI at least 60 days before the scheduled visit. The OPI facilitates the off-site review of the Institutional Report (IR). The results of the off-site review are mailed to the unit at least 30 days prior to the on-site visit. A preliminary visit to the institution is made by the team chairperson approximately 60 days before the scheduled visit to make final arrangements. Findings of the off-site review are mailed to the Institution 30 days before the visit. The scheduled accreditation visit, by the state team, shall be no more than three days. At the exit interview, the chairperson will present a summary of team findings. The chairperson is expected to stay for the duration of the state unit accreditation visits. The institution will receive a written copy of the team report within 30 days of the visit. The institution will have 30 days to submit a response to the Board of Public Education (BPE). A team report is presented to the BPE in three steps as information, discussion, and action. The BPE reserves the right to alter this process as necessary. Possible Action by the Board of Public Education **Provisional Accreditation:** Denial of **Full Accreditation:** -provisionally accredits unit and **Accreditation:** programs. Or -accredits unit and -Action letter -Action letter- Notice of programs. Or provisional accreditation. -Action letter -On-site follow-up visit The Institution has the right to -Action by BPE appeal. It may present supportive -Action letter information and request the Board to reconsider its decision at its next regular meeting.

I. STATE REVIEW PROTOCOL

| CATEGORY | PROCEDURE | | |
|--------------------------------|--|--|--|
| I. STANDARDS | | | |
| A. Unit Standards | The Montana Board of Public Education (BPE) has incorporated the NCATE unit standards into the Montana Professional Educator Preparation Program Standards (PEPPS). | | |
| B. State Program Standards | State standards adopted by the BPE are used to accredit professional education programs that lead to specific licenses and endorsements. The Montana PEPPS are comprised of the BPE adopted requirements for all licensure and endorsement programs and are aligned with NCATE approved program standards. | | |
| II. TEAM | | | |
| A. Team Composition | The OPI selects team members from a cadre of professionals who have completed training on the accreditation process. The OPI provides the professional education unit with the proposed team chairperson and a list of professional educators to serve on the on-site review team. The OPI selects visiting team members whose expertise, training and/or endorsement reflects programs to be reviewed. American Indian educators shall serve on each review team. | | |
| B. Training and Qualifications | Program reviewers must have a minimum of five years of teaching or other appropriate experience as determined by the Board of Public Education and have completed the training authorized by the BPE. All program reviewers must (1) complete training in the standards and the review process and procedures and (2) have access to the Internet and basic technology skills. | | |
| C. Team Size | The size of the on-site review team shall be determined by the OPI coordinator, unit representative, and the team chair. The OPI selects a team chairperson and a list of professionals to serve on the off-site and on-site review teams. The unit confirms the team chair and | | |

| CATEGORY | PROCEDURE | |
|-----------------------------|---|--|
| | members of the on-site review team. The OPI will if necessary name replacements to complete the team | |
| D. Team Chairperson | The team chair coordinates all phases of the on-site visit and preparation of the team report, in collaboration with the OPI coordinator. The team chair and the OPI coordinator conduct a pre-visit approximately 60 days before the on-site visit. | |
| E. Consultant/Coordinator | The Montana OPI Professional Education Program Director serves as the State Coordinator or appoints a designee. The State Coordinator is a non-voting member of the review team. | |
| F. Observers | The OPI shall request representation from BPE and MEA-MFT as an ex officio, non-voting observers on each review team. | |
| G. Decision Making | The team shall make decisions by consensus, and the team chairperson shall resolve all conflicts. | |
| H. Final Report | The final report of the on-site review shall be provided to the professional education unit within thirty (30) days. The professional education unit has 30 days to respond before team recommendations are presented to the Board of Public Education. | |
| I. Evaluations | Following the on-site visit, the performance of the team is evaluated by the OPI. | |
| J. Expenses | The unit covers the expenses of the on-site visit including the expenses of the State On-Site Team. The OPI covers the expenses of the State Coordinator and additional OPI staff. | |
| III Preparation | | |
| A. Scheduling The Review | The dean of education, or other appropriate official, shall notify the office of public instruction by letter of the proposed dates for the visit and shall list specific programs to be reviewed by completing the Program Review Request Form (See page) twelve months preceding the visit. The visit shall not exceed three days. | |

| CATEGORY | PROCEDURE | | |
|---------------------------|--|--|--|
| | | | |
| B. PEPPS Materials | The Montana PEPPS and Procedures Manual contain the standards, guidelines, forms, and support and resource material for the unit reviews. The PEPPS Manual is available on the OPI Web page at www.opi.mt.gov/accreditation | | |
| C. Pre Conditions | The completed Institutional Report is provided electronically to the OPI 60 days prior to the on-site visit. | | |
| D. Program Report | The initial review of the unit's programs is completed prior to the on-site visit using an off-site review process. The off-site program review is facilitated by the state coordinator and completed 30 days before the on-site review. The initial report of the off-site review is electronically mailed to the unit 30 days before the on-site visit. | | |
| E. Institutional Report | The Institutional Report provides evidence to verify compliance with the standards pertaining to the unit and applicable program areas. The professional education unit submits to the office of public instruction an electronic copy of the IR. The IR must be received by OPI at least 60 days before the scheduled visit. In addition, the professional education unit will provide one paper copy of the IR, CD, and electronic links to its catalog(s) and other relevant information pertinent to the effectiveness of the review process. These materials must be received by the team members at least 30 days prior to the on-site visit. | | |
| F. Dates of On-Site Visit | Specific dates for the site visits are confirmed by the unit and the OPI. | | |
| G. Pre-Visit | Approximately 60 days before the visit, the state coordinator and team chair meet on-site with the unit dean to plan the visit. | | |

| CATEGORY | PROCEDURE | | |
|--|---|--|--|
| IV. On-Site Review | | | |
| A. Orientation to State Process/Protocol | The state coordinator and the team chair will conduct an orientation to the state team of the state accreditation process and accreditation protocol. | | |
| B. Conducting the On- Site Review | A template developed jointly with the OPI and the Montana Council of Deans and approved by the BPE is used to guide the review process. | | |
| C. Evidence/Exhibit Room | Electronic exhibit rooms are encouraged. Units shall provide evidence that demonstrates what candidates know and are able to do. In addition units are required to provide evidence that data are used to evaluate student performance and modify programs. Units shall provide data from: 1) assessments at admissions; 2) verification of content knowledge; 3) student teaching and clinical experience assessments; 4) follow-up studies. | | |
| D. Exit Conference | Team chair conducts the exit conference with unit and institution representatives. | | |
| E. State On-Site Report | The State On-Site Report is e-mailed to the OPI and the state team members within 30 days following the on-site visit. The state report is compiled by the team chair in consultation with the state coordinator. | | |
| V. After the On-Site Review | | | |
| A. Report sent from the OPI | One copy of the report will be received electronically by the unit within 30 days following the on-site visit. This report will be sent electronically to the dean of the unit, team chair, and to the BPE. | | |
| B. Rejoinder | The unit may submit a response to the report concerning errors or omissions within 30 days after the report is received and before it is submitted to the BPE for action. | | |
| C. Accreditation & Approval | The Montana BPE is authorized to establish accreditation standards and procedures and to determine accreditation status of the professional education units. | | |
| D. Final Action Report | The report is submitted to the BPE for information, discussion, and action. The action taken by the BPE is reported by the OPI to the unit. | | |

| CATEGORY | PROCEDURE | |
|---|---|--|
| E. Appeal Procedure | The unit is permitted to rejoin the decision of the BPE at its next meeting. | |
| VI. On-Going Responsibilities | | |
| A. Protocol Distribution | The state coordinator shall post and maintain the state protocol of the PEPPS on the OPI Web site. The state protocol is available in hard copy upon request. | |
| B. Accreditation Cycle | Professional Education Units (PEU) in the state of Montana follow a seven-year review cycle after successfully completing an initial accreditation review. | |
| C. Code of Conduct/ Conflict of Interest | Montana has adopted the NCATE code of ethics. Team members shall treat all matters confidentially and shall honor and support all consensus decisions of the team. Program reviewers shall conduct themselves at all times while representing the BPE and OPI as thoughtful, competent, well-prepared, and impartial professionals. Conflict of Interest Montana has adopted the NCATE Conflict of Interest policy. The program reviewers shall avoid conflicts of interest and should exclude themselves from the BPE review team for any reason which may represent an actual or perceived conflict of interest. | |
| VII. Annual Reviews | | |
| A. Regional Accreditation | PEUs shall maintain regional accreditation to continue state accreditation. | |
| B. Annual Report | PEUs annually provide to OPI a copy of the NCATE Data Report. | |

II. JOINT NCATE/STATE REVIEW PROTOCOL

| Category | NCATE | State |
|----------------------------|--|---|
| | policy & options | additions/response |
| I. Standards | | |
| A. Unit Standards | NCATE unit standards apply to the professional education unit. Specific State criteria, as determined by the State Agency, and institutional criteria as determined by the institution or higher education commission, may also be applied to units and/or programs being reviewed by NCATE and the State. | The Montana Board of Public Education (BPE) has incorporated the NCATE unit standards into the Montana Professional Educator Preparation Program Standards and Procedures Manual. |
| B. State Program Standards | NCATE defers to the State's review of the unit's programs if the teacher education program standards or licensing standards and the State's review processes are sufficiently similar to NCATE's, as determined by the State Partnership Board (SPB). Program National Recognition: The State may choose to seek authority for State program approval to be accepted as national recognition of the unit's programs by NCATE's SPAs in the NCATE list of nationally recognized programs. If the State is not authorized to recommend national recognition, the unit may | State standards adopted by the BPE are used to approve professional education programs that lead to specific licenses and endorsements. The Montana PEPPS are comprised of the BPE adopted requirements for all licensure and endorsement programs and are aligned with NCATE approved program standards. The BPE requires each professional education unit to undergo a program area review conducted by the Office of Public Instruction (OPI). This |

| II. Team A. Team Composition: Joint State/ NCATE T | ICATE and State team | ensures that all licensure and endorsement programs comply with BPE requirements. This off-site review process is completed 60 days prior to the on-site visit. Following the review, OPI submits a written report to the unit 30 days prior to the on-site visit. The Montana State |
|--|---|--|
| II. Team A. Team Composition: Joint State/ NCATE T | of a program by Submitting its program for seview through NCATE. ICATE and State team nembers work together, | licensure and endorsement programs comply with BPE requirements. This off-site review process is completed 60 days prior to the on-site visit. Following the review, OPI submits a written report to the unit 30 days prior to the on-site visit. |
| A. Team Composition: m Joint State/ sh NCATE re fu | nembers work together, | The Montone State |
| Composition: m Joint State/ st NCATE re fu T | nembers work together, | |
| (E re or ec ec ar No th Co de re af Al ar to | charing equal roles and esponsibilities in all unctions of the review. The NCATE team is selected from NCATE's Board of Examiners BOE). The team includes epresentatives from organizations of teacher educators, teachers, education specialists and/or policy makers. Hon-voting members of the team include the State Consultant (usually the ICATE State Partnership Contact, or his/her lesignee), and a epresentative of the state of NEA and/or AFT. Team assignments are systematically made to ensure that conflicts of interest are avoided. | reviewers are selected by the OPI from NCATE/State Team trained Montana educators. Two teams participate in the joint on-site review: NCATE/State Team and State Verification Team. These team members include faculty of higher education, K-12 teachers, and other school personnel. |

| Category | NCATE policy & options | State additions/response |
|--|---|---|
| B. Training Expectations: Joint | NCATE team members must participate in the NCATE-sponsored BOE training. State team members must be trained by NCATE staff or an NCATE authorized trainee, as outlined in the State rules. | The Montana OPI and the NCATE staff jointly plan and provide training to State Review Team members. Montana NCATE/State Team training is scheduled every four years. |
| C. Team Size: Joint | For first, continuing, and probation visits, the BOE team will include 3-6 members depending on several factors, including the number of candidates, faculty, and the unit's programs. Additional team members may be added to visit off-campus sites. For focused visits, the team will include 2-3 BOE members. | The NCATE/State Team shall be comprised of one member less than the NCATE representatives. These state representatives have full voting rights as members of the NCATE/State Team. |
| D. Chair Responsibilities: Joint | The NCATE chairperson and the State chairperson serve as co-chairs. They are jointly responsible for planning and conducting the visit. The co-chairs conduct a previsit approximately 60 days before the visit to plan interviews and finalize the logistics for the visit. The State Consultant and State team chair should participate in the previsit. | The State co-chair is appointed by the OPI. The State Consultant and the NCATE and State co-chairs conduct a pre-visit approximately 60 days before the on-site visit. The co-chairs assign roles and responsibilities to the NCATE/State Team and State Verification Team members. |

| Category | NCATE | State |
|--|--|--|
| | policy & options | additions/response |
| | The co-chairs assign roles and responsibilities to BOE and State team members. | |
| E. Consultants/Other Participants | NCATE invites the State education agencies to appoint a "State Consultant" to advise the team on State requirements, nomenclature, and special circumstances. The State Consultant's expenses are covered by the respective agency. The State Consultant facilitates an orientation to the State Partnership at a team meeting prior to the review activities. The consultant is usually the State Partnership Contact, but may be his/her designee, and is a nonvoting member of the BOE team. The State Consultant may serve as a voting member of the State team, if so designated by the State. A few states (e.g., SC and FL) may have consultants from two agencies. | The Montana OPI Professional Education Program Director serves as the State Consultant or appoints a designee. The State Consultant's expenses are covered by the OPI. The State Consultant is a non-voting member of the joint review team. |
| F. NEA/AFT Representatives and Other Observers | NCATE invites the State affiliates of the NEA and AFT to appoint observers for the on-site visit in partnership States. The participants' respective agencies are responsible for their travel and | Observers from MEA-MFT and the BPE are invited to participate, but do not vote. |

| Category | NCATE | State |
|------------------------------------|---|--|
| | maintenance expenses. These observers can assist the BOE team with the collection of data, interviews, and the editing of the team report. However, they should not be assigned a primary writing assignment. Observers are non-voting members of the BOE team. | additions/response |
| G. Decision-making | Decisions are usually made through consensus-driving discussions of whether standards are met. When consensus cannot be reached, a vote may be taken. | The State Team members have full voting rights as members of the NCATE/State Team. The State Consultant is a non-voting member of the joint review team. |
| H. Writing the Report: Joint | The NCATE chair assigns writing responsibilities to each team member. The BOE report includes the BOE team's responses to the 6 unit standards at both the initial teacher preparation and advanced levels as appropriate. If the State or institution has additional requirements, the report should have the BOE team's responses to the State/Institution requirements attached as a Report Addendum. The final report is compiled by the BOE chair. | The final report of the NCATE unit standards is compiled and approved jointly by both NCATE and State Team members. The responsibility for submitting the NCATE unit standards report belongs to the NCATE co-chair. Responsibility for submitting the report of the Montana professional education standards, for both basic and advanced levels as appropriate, is held by the State co-chair with |

| Category | NCATE | State |
|----------------|--|---|
| | policy & options | additions/response |
| | The NCATE and State chairs assign primary and secondary writing responsibilities to both NCATE and State team members. | assistance from the OPI. |
| | The draft of the BOE report should be completed by the end of the on-site visit. | |
| | The BOE draft report should be sent to NCATE and the team members for editing, and to the unit for correction of factual errors. | |
| | The BOE team chair e-mails one copy of the final report to the NCATE office and a copy to each member of the NCATE team within 30 days following the visit. | |
| I. Evaluations | Following the on-site visit, the performance of BOE members is evaluated electronically by the unit, the other national and State BOE members, and State consultants who served on the same visiting team. The evaluations are used by NCATE and the State to determine who should continue BOE service and to identify potential team chairs. | Following the on-site visit, the performance of the State Team members is evaluated by the OPI. The evaluations are used to select team members for future on-site reviews. |
| J. Expenses | During the semester of | The unit covers the |

| Category | NCATE | State |
|--------------------------------------|--|--|
| | policy & options | additions/response |
| | the visit, the unit will pay NCATE a Periodic Evaluation Fee of \$1,000 per NCATE BOE team member participating in the on-site visit. | expenses of the onsite visit including the expenses of the NCATE/State Team and the State Verification Team. The OPI covers the expenses of the State Consultant and additional OPI staff. |
| III. Preparation | | |
| A. Units' Intent-to- Seek request | For initial accreditation, at least two years before hosting an on-site visit, the unit should indicate its interest in seeking accreditation. The request should include the semester and year in which the unit plans to host the on-site review. | |
| B. NCATE materials | In response to interest request, NCATE provide weblinks to the following materials: • Professional Standards for the Accreditation of Schools, Colleges, and Departments of Education; • Handbook for Accreditation Visits; • "Intent to Seek NCATE accreditation" form – TO BE SUBMITTED 2 YEARS BEFORE THE VISIT; • Timeline for semester and year | The Montana PEPPS and Procedures Manual is available on the OPI Web site at www.opi.mt.gov. This document contains guidelines, forms, and materials for site visits. |

| Category | NCATE | State |
|--------------------|---|---|
| | of visit; List of NCATE partnership States; and Other accreditation information | additions/response |
| C. Preconditions | For first visits, the unit responds to the preconditions found on the NCATE website. The preconditions report must be submitted to the NCATE office at least eighteen months prior to the on-site visit. All accredited units must continue to meet the preconditions for continued NCATE accreditation. Annually, NCATE reviews Title II test data and will request additional information from the unit that no longer meets the required State pass rate. | The Institutional Report is sent to the OPI 60 days prior to the on-site visit. |
| D. Program Reports | If the Partnership Agreement requires the unit to submit program reports, it must submit them by February 1 or September 15, two or three semesters before the continuing visit. For a continuing visit, NCATE requests the unit to verify online their "Status of Program Reviews," approximately two years before the visit. | The initial review of the unit's programs is completed (either electronically or on paper) prior to the onsite visit. |

| Category | NCATE policy & options | State additions/response |
|-------------------------|--|---|
| | This information will indicate which program reports to submit. For specific information on the preparation of program reports visit the NCATE website. | |
| E. Institutional Report | The professional education unit is required to write and submit an Institutional Report (IR) that describes the unit's conceptual framework and evidence that demonstrates that the 6 standards are met. In continuing accreditation visits, the IR also serves as a primary documentation of the unit's growth and development since the last accreditation visit. The unit sends one copy of the IR and related links to undergraduate and graduate (if applicable) catalogs to each NCATE BOE team member, State consultant, and NEA/AFT observers. Either an electronic copy of the Institutional Report is sent to NCATE, or the unit may send two paper copies. | The State Verification Team reviews the specific program standards, as appropriate, in Chapters 5, 6, 7, 8, and 9 of the Montana PEPPS and additional Montana requirements as articulated in Appendix A of PEPPS. The NCATE/State Team examines the NCATE Unit Standards in lieu of Chapters 2 and 3 of the Montana standards. The unit sends one copy of the full NCATE Institutional Report and related links to catalog to the co-chair of the State Team and to the Montana OPI. Either an electronic copy of the IR is sent to the OPI or the unit may send two paper copies. The State co- chair shall send to each member of the State Verification |

| Category | NCATE | State |
|------------------------------|--|---|
| | policy & options | Team a copy of the unit's response to Chapters 5, 6, 7, 8, and 9 of the Montana standards as appropriate for their review responsibilities plus college catalogs. These materials must be received by team members at least 30 days prior to the visit. |
| F. Dates of On-Site Visit | NCATE requests units to submit its preferred visit date to NCATE at least 1 year prior to the on-site visit. Units in Partnership States must have the date approved by the State Agency prior to submitting its request to NCATE. The State Agency must first agree to requests for a delay in the visit, before submitting the delay request to NCATE. Visits are scheduled from Saturday through Wednesday excepting special circumstances. | Specific dates for the site visits are confirmed by the Montana OPI before submission to NCATE. |
| G. Previsit | The previsit should be scheduled about 60 days before the on-site visit. See the Handbook for Accreditation Visits for further details. The State Consultant, BOE chair, head of the | The State co-chair and consultant and/or designee from the Montana Office of Public Instruction meet with the NCATE chair and the unit head and/or designee to plan the visit. |

| Category | NCATE | State |
|---|--|--|
| | policy & options unit, and NCATE coordinator should be present. If the visit is joint or concurrent, the State team chair should also participate in the previsit. | additions/response |
| H. 3 Rd Party Testimony | Six months before the onsite review, the unit must publish a "Call for Comment" inviting 3 rd party testimony related to the upcoming NCATE visit to be sent to NCATE. Two to three months before the on-site review, NCATE sends copies of any third-party testimony it received to the unit for comment and to the BOE team chair. | |
| IV. On-Site Review | | |
| A. Orientation to State Process/ Protocol | If the visit is being conducted jointly or concurrently, the State Consultant (or his/her designee) will facilitate an orientation to the State process and Protocol. | The State co-chair and consultant will jointly conduct an orientation to the State Verification Team on process and protocol. |
| B. Conducting the On-Site Review | The NCATE template for on-site visits guides the conduct of the visit as outlined in the NCATE Handbook for Accreditation Visits and on the NCATE website. | A template jointly developed with NCATE and the Montana OPI is used to guide the conduct of the NCATE/State Team visit. The Montana PEPPS and Procedures Manual will provide guidance for the State Verification portion |

| Category | NCATE | State |
|--------------------------|--|---|
| | policy & options | additions/response |
| | | of the review. |
| C. Evidence/Exhibit Room | Electronic exhibit rooms are encouraged. Access NCATE's electronic exhibit room guidelines. Performance-based evidence that demonstrates what candidates know and are able to do must be included in the exhibit room. Units must provide data from: 1) assessments at admissions; 2) State licensure tests; 3) internship assessments; and 4) follow-up studies. For other assessment data examples, see "Assessing Education Candidate Performance: A Look at Changing Practices." | State Verification and NCATE/State teams will share interviews and exhibits during the visit. NCATE/State Team members with responsibility for Montana's Sub-Chapters 2 and 3 will be paired to collect data on the six NCATE unit standards. Electronic exhibit rooms are encouraged. |
| D. BOE Report | The BOE report includes the BOE team's responses to the 6 unit standards at both the initial teacher preparation and advanced levels as appropriate. If the State/Institution has additional requirements, the report should have the BOE team's responses to the State requirements attached as a State Addendum. The final report is compiled by the BOE chair. | The NCATE report is e-mailed to the State co-chair, the OPI and the NCATE/State Team members. The State report is compiled by the State co-chair and is e-mailed to the OPI, team members, and unit within 30 days following the visit. |

| Category | NCATE | State |
|--------------------------------|--|---|
| | The BOE team chair e-mails one copy of the final BOE Report to the NCATE office and a copy to each member of the NCATE team within 30 days following the visit. | additions/response |
| E. Exit Conference | An exit conference is conducted before the team departs Wednesday. It is conducted by the NCATE team chair, State team chair, and State Consultants. The unit is represented by the unit head and coordinator of the NCATE review; the president and/or provost may also attend. | The State co-chair and consultant participate in NCATE/State Team exit conference. |
| V. After the On-Site Review | | |
| A. BOE report sent from NCATE | NCATE mails two copies of the report to the unit and one copy to the appropriate State Agencies. | One copy of the report will be received by the unit within 30 days following the visit. This report will be mailed to the dean of the school of education and to the Montana OPI. |
| B. Rejoinder | The unit submits to NCATE and the State an electronic copy, or five hard copies, of its rejoinder to the BOE report within 30 days after receipt of the BOE Report. | The unit may submit a response to the report concerning errors or omissions within 30 days after the report is received and before it is submitted to the BPE for action. |
| C. Accreditation & Approval | NCATE's Unit Accreditation Board | The Montana BPE is authorized to establish |

| Category | NCATE | State |
|-----------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------|
| | policy & options | additions/response |
| | (UAB) is responsible for | accreditation |
| | determining the | standards and |
| | accreditation status of | approval process for |
| | professional education | the Montana PEPPS. |
| | units, during meetings | the Montana i Ei i o. |
| | twice a year. In most | |
| | cases, accreditation | |
| | decisions are rendered at | |
| | the UAB meeting in the | |
| | semester that follows the | |
| | | |
| | BOE review. | |
| | NOATE | |
| | NCATE provides written | |
| | notice of all accreditation | |
| | decisions to the U.S. | |
| | Department of Education, | |
| | the appropriate state | |
| | licensing or authorizing | |
| | agency, all <u>institutional</u> | |
| | accrediting agencies | |
| | recognized by the U.S. | |
| | Department of Education | |
| | and the Council for Higher | |
| | Education Accreditation , | |
| | and the public (via the | |
| | NCATE website) | |
| | | |
| | More information about | |
| | reporting accreditation | |
| | decisions may be found in | |
| | NCATE's Policies on | |
| | Dissemination of | |
| | Information. Definitions of | |
| | NCATE accreditation | |
| | decisions can also be | |
| | found on NCATE's | |
| | website or in the | |
| | Handbook for | |
| | Accreditation Visits. | |
| | | |
| D. Final Action | Within 30 days after | The report is |
| Report | NCATE's Unit | submitted to the OPI |
| ' | Accreditation Board takes | and the BPE. Upon |
| | action on the | review of the report, |
| | action on the | |

| Category | NCATE | State |
|----------------------------------|---|---|
| | policy & options accreditation of the unit, NCATE sends the chief executive officer and head of the professional education unit a letter that indicates the official action. | final action is taken by the Board; that action is reported to the unit and the Commissioner of Higher Education. One copy of notification of action by the Board is forwarded to NCATE. |
| E. Appeal Procedure | Units may appeal any of the following Unit Accreditation Board decisions: Provisional Accreditation, Accreditation with Conditions, Revocation of Accreditation, and Probation. See NCATE's website at for specific policies and procedures related to the appeals process. | |
| VI. On-Going Responsibilities | | |
| A. Protocol Distribution | NCATE will post the State Partnership Protocol on its website; it is also available in hard copy upon request. States will distribute the protocol to all units following the creation/renewal of a Partnership or after either party makes revisions. | The OPI will post the NCATE/Montana Partnership Agreement/Protocol on the OPI Web site. The agreement is available in hard copy upon request. |
| B. Accreditation Cycle | Units that receive accreditation for the first time will be scheduled for their next visit five years from the semester in which their visit occurred. | Units in the state of Montana follow a seven-year cycle after the first continuing accreditation review. |

| Category | NCATE | State |
|--------------------|--|--|
| | policy & options | additions/response |
| | Units that receive continuing accreditation will be scheduled for their next visit seven years from the semester in which their visit occurred. The seven-year cycle of visits apply only if the State has agreed to a seven-year cycle. | Montana OPI will conduct probationary or focused visits as a result of provisional accreditation. These visits will be within six school months following final action by the BPE. |
| | Units may host a probationary or focused visit as a result of conditional or provisional accreditation; visits will be within 2 years of the UAB's decision. | |
| C. Code of Conduct | To assure units and the public that NCATE reviews are impartial and objective, to avoid conflicts of interest, and to promote equity and high ethical standards in the accreditation system, BOE members, board members, program reviewers, and staff shall follow NCATE's Code of Conduct, in the Handbook for Accreditation Visits and on NCATE's website. Violation of any part of the Code of Conduct | Montana has adopted the NCATE code of ethics. |
| D. Annual Reviews | could result in the board member's removal from the board. | |

| Category | NCATE | State |
|--------------------|------------------------------|------------------------|
| | policy & options | additions/response |
| 1. Regional | Units must maintain | Units must maintain |
| Accreditation | regional accreditation in | regional accreditation |
| | order to continue its | in order to continue |
| | NCATE accreditation. | state approval. |
| | | |
| 2. Change in State | The State will provide to | |
| Status | NCATE its policy leading | |
| | to a "Change in State | |
| | Status." | |
| | | |
| | The State will notify | |
| | NCATE within thirty days | |
| | of action taken that an | |
| | NCATE unit has had a | |
| | Change in State Status. | |
| | | |
| | Notification of an NCATE | |
| | accredited unit's Change | |
| | in State Status by the | |
| | State will initiate a review | |
| | by NCATE's Annual | |
| | Report and Preconditions | |
| | Audit Committee. | |
| | | |
| | The NCATE president will | |
| | notify the unit that the | |
| | State has informed | |
| | NCATE of a change in | |
| | their state status and | |
| | require the unit to submit | |
| | a special report within 90 | |
| | days. | |
| | | |
| | | |
| 3. Precondition 7 | The unit's programs are | Montana does not |
| | approved by the | require licensing |
| | appropriate State agency | examinations. |
| | or agencies, and, in | |
| | States with educator | |
| | licensing examinations | |
| | and required pass rates, | |
| | the unit's summary pass | |
| | rate meets or exceeds the | |
| | required State pass rate. | |
| | roganioa otato passi rater | <u> </u> |

| Category | NCATE policy & options | State additions/response |
|------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------|
| | | |
| 4. Annual Report | Submission of the Annual | Units annually send to |
| - | Report is a requirement | OPI a copy of NCATE |
| | for all units that are | Data Annual Report. |
| | accredited by NCATE or | |
| | are candidates or | |
| | precandidates for NCATE | |
| | accreditation. Annual | |
| | Reports are due October | |
| | 1 st and should be | |
| | submitted electronically. | |

Montana Professional Educator Preparation Program Standards and Procedures Manual

Section II -Appendix

Sample Report Form

Program Review Request Form

SAMPLE REPORT FORM

| Number and Name of Standard: |
|---|
| Validating Statement: |
| "Supporting materials were reviewed and interviews conducted with students and faculty (names, title, department)." (Brief description of what was observed with support documentation.) |
| Sources of Evidence: |
| Evidence gathered from general bulletin and catalog, course syllabi, candidate portfolio, interviews with department faculty, students, and cooperating teachers. |
| Evaluation: |
| Most of the required courses are cited as meeting the standards. Upon further verification the evaluators determined that substandard shows no evidence that the substandard is met. However, the overall standard exhibits relevant content, research-based pedagogy, and thorough practical applications. |
| Commendations: |
| Recommendation: |
| Recommend approval |

PROGRAM REVIEW REQUEST FORM (To be completed and returned to the Office of Public Instruction) The information on this report will be used by OPI to determine the composition of the visiting program review team. Name of Institution _____ Date of On-Site Review_____ Name and Title of Person Completing this Form Please indicate the programs in the professional education unit (showing degree levels at which each program if offered) for which the review is requested. **BACHELOR'S MASTER'S** DOCTORATE OTHER 1. Agriculture (503) 2. Art K-12 (50 3. Business Education 4. Computer Science 5. Distributive Ed./Marketing 6. Drama 7. Elementary 8. English 9. English as a Second Language K-12 10. Students with Disabilities K-12 11. World Language K-12 12. School Counseling K-12 13. Health

14. Family & Consumer Science

15. Industrial/Technology Education

| | BACHELOR'S | MASTER'S | DOCTORATE | OTHER |
|--|------------|----------|-----------|-------|
| 16 Journalism | | | | |
| 17. Library K-12 | | | | |
| 18. Mathematics | | | | |
| 19. Music K-12 | | | | |
| 20. Physical Education & Health K-12 | | | | |
| 21. Reading Specialist K-12 | | | | |
| 22. Broadfield Science | | | | |
| 23. Biology | | | | |
| 24. Chemistry | | | | |
| 25. Earth Science | | | | |
| 26. Physical Science | | | | |
| 27. Physics | | | | |
| 28. Broadfield Social Studies | | | | |
| 29. Economics | | | | |
| 30. Geography | | | | |
| 31. Government | | | | |
| 32. History | | | | |
| 33. Sociology | | | | |
| 34. Psychology | | | | |
| 35. Speech Communication | | | | |
| 36. Trade & Industry | | | | |
| 37. Traffic Education | | | | |
| 38. Supervisors/Curriculum Directors | | | | |
| 39. School Principals | | | | |
| 40. School Superintendents | | | | |
| 41. School Psychologists | | | | |
| 42. Permissive Special Competencies: (specify) | | | | |